arXiv:1404.4260v3 [math.RT] 29 Oct 2015

C-VECTORS VIA τ-TILTING THEORY

CHANGJIAN FU

Abstract. Inspired by the tropical dualities in cluster algebras, we introduce c-vectors for finite-dimensional algebras via τ-tilting theory. Let A be a finite-dimensional algebra over a field k. Each c-vector of A can be realized as the (negative) dimension vector of certain indecomposable A-module and hence we establish the sign-coherence property for this kind of c-vectors. We then study the positive c-vectors for certain classes of finite-dimensional algebras including quasitilted algebras and cluster-tilted algebras. In particular, we recover the equalities of c-vectors for acyclic cluster algebras and skew-symmetric cluster algebras of finite type respectively obtained by Nájera Chávez. To this end, a short proof for the sign-coherence of c-vectors for skew-symmetric cluster algebras has been given in the appendix.

1. introduction

The c-vectors and g-vectors introduced by Fomin-Zelevinsky [15] are two kinds of integer vectors, which have played important roles in the theory of cluster algebras with coefficients. Both the vectors are conjectured to have a so-called sign-coherence property [15], which has been recently proved by Gross-Hacking-Keel-Kontsevich [21]. For skew-symmetric cluster algebras, Nakanishi [34] found the so-called tropical dualities between c-vectors and g-vectors (*cf.* also [25, 31, 38]). With the assumption of sign-coherence of c-vectors, the tropical dualities between c-vectors and g-vectors has been further generalized to skew-symmetrizable cluster algebras by Nakanishi-Zelevinsky [36]. Moreover, they showed that many properties or conjectures of cluster algebras follow from the tropical dualities and hence follow from the sign-coherence of c-vectors.

On the other hand, c-vectors may be seen as a generalization of root systems. It follows from Nagao's work [31] that each c-vector of a given skew-symmetric cluster algebra can be realized as the (negative) dimension vector of certain exceptional module for the associated Jacobian algebra. In particular, the set of c-vectors of an acyclic cluster algebra is a subset of the real Schur roots for the corresponding Kac-Moody algebra. In [32], Nájera Chávez showed the inverse inclusion is also true for acyclic cluster algebras (*cf.* also [42]). Moreover, he also proved in [33]

that the set of positive c-vectors of a skew-symmetric cluster algebra of finite type coincides with the set of dimension vectors of all the exceptional modules over the corresponding representation-finite cluster-tilted algebra. Nakanishi-Stella [35] gave a diagrammatic description of c-vectors for cluster algebras of finite type. They proposed the root conjecture for any cluster algebras: for any skew-symmetrizable matrix B any c-vector of the cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}(B)$ is a root of the associated Kac-Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}(A(B))$, where $A(B)$ is the Cartan counterpart of B. We refer to [35] for more details on c-vectors of cluster algebras of finite type.

In this paper, we pursue the representation-theoretic approach to study c-vectors. We introduce the notion of c-vector for any finite-dimensional algebras via τ-tilting theory [3] and study its relation with dimension vectors of indecomposable τ-rigid and exceptional modules. Let A be a finite-dimensional algebra over a field k. In [3], the authors showed that the indices $\mathsf{ind}(M,Q)$ of a basic support τ-tilting pair (M,Q) form a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis for the Grothendieck groups $\mathsf{G_0}(\mathsf{per}\,A)$ of the perfect derived category $\mathsf{per}\,A$. Let $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathsf{mod}\,A)$ be the bounded derived category of finitely generated right A-modules and $\langle -,-\rangle_A : \mathsf{G_0}(\mathsf{per}\,A)\times\mathcal{D}^b(\mathsf{mod}\,A)\to k$ the non-degenerate Euler bilinear form. We then define the c-vectors associated to (M,Q) to be the dual basis of $\mathsf{ind}(M,Q)$ in $\mathsf{G_0}(\mathcal{D}^b(\mathsf{mod}\,A))$ with respect to the Euler bilinear form $\langle -,-\rangle_A$. Equivalently, one can define c-vectors as the dimension vectors of 2-term simple-minded collections [6] in $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathsf{mod}\,A)$ with respect to the canonical basis of simple A-modules. Using the bijection between 2-term silting objects in $\mathsf{per}\,A$ and the intermediate t-structure on $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathsf{mod}\,A)$ in [28, 6], we show the sign-coherence property holds for this kind of c-vectors. If A is a Jacobian-finite algebra, it follows from [17, 6] and the tropical dualities that the c-vectors we obtained here do coincide with the one for the corresponding cluster algebra. On the other hand, if A is the preprojective algebra of Dynkin type Q, it follows from [30] that the set of c-vectors of A coincides with the root system of the simple Lie algebra of type Q. Both the results suggest that it is worth investigating the c-vectors for a general finite-dimensional algebra and its possible connections with Lie theory. In the present paper, we mainly study the c-vectors for quasitilted algebras and show how to use the idea to recover the equalities of c-vectors for acyclic cluster algebras and skew-symmetric cluster algebras of finite type obtained by Nájera Chávez. In a forthcoming paper [16], the idea will be applied to algebras arising from cluster tubes to establish a link between dimension vectors of indecomposable τ-rigid modules and c-vectors of cluster algebras of type C.

The paper is organized as follows: After recall some definitions and basic properties related to τ-tilting theory in Section 2, we introduce the definition of c-vectors for finite-dimensional algebras in Section 3. We show that each c-vector can be realized as the (negative) dimension vector of certain indecomposable module and establish the sign-coherence property for c-vectors. Moreover, the relationship between positive c-vectors and negative c-vectors are also given. Section 4.1 is devoted to study the c-vectors for quasitilted algebras. We show that the set $\mathsf{cv}^+(A)$ of positive c-vectors for a quasitilted algebra A coincides with the set $\mathsf{exdv}(A)$ of dimension vectors of exceptional A-modules. This generalizes the equalities for acyclic cluster algebras established by Nájera Chávez [32]. Let us mention here that, these equalities also implies that an indecomposable A-module M of the quasitilted algebra A can be completed to a 2-term simple-minded collection of $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathsf{mod}\, A)$ if and only if M is an exceptional module (we refer to [6] for the definition of 2-term simple-minded collections). In Section 4.2 and 4.3, we also establish the equalities between the set $\mathsf{cv}^+(A)$ of positive c-vectors and the set $\mathsf{exdv}(A)$ of dimension vectors of exceptional modules for representation-directed algebras and cluster-tilted algebras of finite type respectively. After recall the basic definitions of cluster algebras in Appendix A, we give an explanation of cluster algebra of finite type via c-vectors and a short proof for the sign-coherence of c-vectors for skew-symmetric cluster algebras.

Notations. Throughout this paper, k denotes an algebraically closed field, A a finite-dimensional basic k-algebra. All modules are right modules. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a category over k, for an object $M \in \mathcal{C}$, denote by $\mathsf{add}\, M$ the full subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$ whose objects are direct summands of finite direct sum of M.

Acknowledgements. The author thanks Dong Yang for pointing out the bijection between 2-term silting objects and intermediate t-structures to prove the sign-coherence of c-vectors. He is also grateful to Alfredo Nájera Chávez and Tomoki Nakanishi for their interests and helpful comments. This work was partially supported by NSFC (No.11471224) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (No.2013SCU04A44).

2. Recollection

In this section, we recall some definitions and basic properties of (support) τ-tilting modules and (2-term) silting objects. We mainly follow [3, 4, 28].

2.1. Support τ-tilting modules.

Let A be a finite-dimensional algebra over k and $\mathsf{mod}\, A$ the category of finitely generated right A-modules. Let $S_1, \cdots, S_n$ be all the

pairwise non-isomorphic simple A-modules and $P_1, \cdots, P_n$ the corresponding projective covers of $S_1, \cdots, S_n$ respectively. Denote by τ the Auslander-Reiten translation of $\mathsf{mod}\, A$.

An A-module M is called *rigid* if $\mathsf{Ext}^1_A(M, M) = 0$. A module $M \in \mathsf{mod}\, A$ is called τ-*rigid* provided $\mathsf{Hom}_A(M, \tau M) = 0$. Let $P_1^M \xrightarrow{f} P_0^M \to M \to 0$ be a minimal projective resolution of M, then M is τ-rigid if and only if $\mathsf{Hom}_A(f, M)$ is surjective. Note that τ-rigid implies rigid, but the converse is not true in general.

A τ-*rigid pair* is (M, P) with $M \in \mathsf{mod}\, A$ and P a finitely generated projective A-module, such that M is τ-rigid and $\mathsf{Hom}_A(P, M) = 0$. A τ-rigid pair is called *support* τ-*tilting pair* if $|M| + |P| = n$, where $|X|$ denotes the number of non-isomorphic indecomposable direct summands of X. In this case, M is a *support* τ-*tilting* A-module and P is uniquely determined by M.

Recall that a full subcategory $\mathcal{T}$ of $\mathsf{mod}\, A$ is a *torsion class* of $\mathsf{mod}\, A$ provided that $\mathcal{T}$ is closed under quotients and extensions. An object $X \in \mathcal{T}$ is Ext-*projective* if $\mathsf{Ext}^1_A(X, \mathcal{T}) = 0$. A torsion pair $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$ is uniquely determined by its torsion class $\mathcal{T}$ in the sense that

$$\mathcal{F} = ^{\perp} \mathcal{T} := \{N \in \mathsf{mod}\, A | \, \mathsf{Hom}_A(M, N) = 0 \text{ for all } M \in \mathcal{T}\}.$$

A torsion pair $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$ is *functorially finite* provided that $\mathcal{T}$ is functorially finite, equivalently, there is an object $X \in \mathsf{mod}\, A$ such that $\mathcal{T} = \mathsf{Fac}\, X$, where $\mathsf{Fac}\, X$ is the subcategory of $\mathsf{mod}\, A$ formed by quotients of finite direct sum of X. Let $P(\mathcal{T})$ be the direct sum of one copy of each of the indecomposable Ext-projective objects in $\mathcal{T}$ up to isomorphism. It is well-known that $\mathcal{T} = \mathsf{Fac}\, P(\mathcal{T})$. The following result due to [2] will be used implicitly (*cf.* Theorem 5.10 in [2]).

Proposition 2.1. *Let A be a finite-dimensional algebra over k. If M is a τ-rigid A-module, then $\mathsf{Fac}\, M$ is a functorially finite torsion class and $M \in \mathsf{Fac}\, M$ is Ext-projective.*

Let $\mathsf{f\text{-}tors}\, A$ be the set of isomorphism classes of functorially finite torsion classes of $\mathsf{mod}\, A$ and $\mathsf{s}\tau\text{-}\mathsf{tilt}\, A$ the set of isomorphism classes of basic support τ-tilting A-modules. The support τ-tilting A-modules are closely related to the functorially finite torsion classes of $\mathsf{mod}\, A$. In particular, we have the following bijection (*cf.* Theorem 2.7 of [3]).

Theorem 2.2. *Let A be a finite-dimensional algebra over k. There is a bijection between $\mathsf{s}\tau\text{-}\mathsf{tilt}\, A$ and $\mathsf{f\text{-}tors}\, A$ given by*

$$M \in \mathsf{s}\tau\text{-}\mathsf{tilt}\, A \mapsto \mathsf{Fac}\, M \in \mathsf{f\text{-}tors}\, A,$$

and its inverse is given by $\mathcal{T} \mapsto P(\mathcal{T})$*, where* $\mathcal{T} \in \mathsf{f\text{-}tors}\, A$*.*

2.2. **Silting objects.** Let $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathsf{mod}\, A)$ be the bounded derived category of finitely generated right A-modules with suspension functor Σ. Recall that n is the number of pairwise non-isomorphic simple A-modules. Let $\mathsf{per}\, A$ be the perfect derived category of A, that is the smallest thick subcategory of $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathsf{mod}\, A)$ containing the object A. An object $Q \in \mathsf{per}\, A$ is called *presilting* if $\mathsf{Hom}_{\mathsf{per}\, A}(Q, \Sigma^i Q) = 0$ for all $i > 0$. A presilting object $Q \in \mathsf{per}\, A$ is called a *silting object* provided moreover $\mathsf{thick}(Q) = \mathsf{per}\, A$, where $\mathsf{thick}(Q)$ is the smallest thick subcategory of $\mathsf{per}\, A$ containing Q. Each basic silting object has exactly n indecomposable direct summands [4]. A presilting object Q is called *almost silting* if the number of non-isomorphic indecomposable direct summands of Q is $n-1$. If there is an indecomposable object $X \in \mathsf{per}\, A$ such that $P \oplus X$ is a silting object, then X is called a *complement* of P. In general, an almost presilting object may have infinite complements.

Let $Q = X \oplus P$ be a basic silting object with X indecomposable. Consider the triangle

$$X \xrightarrow{f} Q_1 \to Y \to \Sigma X,$$

where f is a minimal left $\mathsf{add}\, P$-approximation of X. It has been shown in [4] that $Y \oplus P$ is a basic silting object and called *the left mutation* of Q with respect to X. Dually, if we consider the triangle induced by a minimal right $\mathsf{add}\, P$-approximation of X, we obtain the right mutation of Q with respect to X.

A silting object $Q \in \mathsf{per}\, A$ is *2-term silting* if there is a triangle

$$P_1^Q \to P_0^Q \to Q \to \Sigma P_1^Q, \text{ where } P_0^Q, P_1^Q \in \mathsf{add}\, A.$$

Denote by $\mathsf{2\text{-}silt}\, A$ the set of isomorphism classes of 2-term silting objects of $\mathsf{per}\, A$. The following has been established in [3].

Theorem 2.3. *Let A be a finite-dimensional algebra over k.*

(1) *Let P be an almost 2-term silting object in $\mathsf{per}\, A$, there exists exactly two indecomposable objects X, Y such that $P \oplus X$ and $P \oplus Y$ are 2-term silting objects in $\mathsf{per}\, A$; Moreover, $P \oplus X$ and $P \oplus Y$ are related by a left or right mutation;*

(2) *There is a bijection between $\mathsf{s\tau\text{-}tilt}\, A$ and $\mathsf{2\text{-}silt}\, A$ given by*

$$M \in \mathsf{s\tau\text{-}tilt}\, A \mapsto (P_1^M \oplus P \xrightarrow{(f,0)} P_0^M) \in \mathsf{2\text{-}silt}\, A,$$

where $P_1^M \xrightarrow{f} P_0^M \to M$ is a minimal projective resolution of M and (M, P) is the support τ-tilting pair.

2.3. **t-structures on triangulated categories.** Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a triangulated category over k with suspension functor Σ. A pair of full subcategory $(\mathcal{U}^{\leq 0}, \mathcal{V}^{\geq 0})$ of $\mathcal{D}$ is called a *t-structure* on $\mathcal{D}$ provided that

(1) $\Sigma\mathcal{U}^{\leq 0} \subseteq \mathcal{U}^{\leq 0}$;
(2) $\mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{U}^{\leq 0}, \Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{V}^{\geq 0}) = 0$;
(3) for each $X \in \mathcal{D}$, there is a triangle $U_X \to X \to V_X \to \Sigma U_X$ with $U_X \in \mathcal{U}^{\leq 0}$ and $V_X \in \Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{V}^{\geq 0}$.

A *bounded t-structure* on $\mathcal{D}$ is a t-structure $(\mathcal{U}^{\leq 0}, \mathcal{V}^{\geq 0})$ such that

$$\mathcal{D} = \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \Sigma^n\mathcal{U}^{\leq 0} = \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \Sigma^n\mathcal{V}^{\geq 0}.$$

For a given t-structure $(\mathcal{U}^{\leq 0}, \mathcal{V}^{\geq 0})$ on $\mathcal{D}$, the subcategory $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{U}^{\leq 0} \cap \mathcal{V}^{\geq 0}$ of $\mathcal{D}$ is called *the heart* of $(\mathcal{U}^{\leq 0}, \mathcal{V}^{\geq 0})$, which is an abelian category with the exact structure induced by the triangles of $\mathcal{D}$. Moreover, for any $X, Y \in \mathcal{A}$, we have $\mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y) = \mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(X, Y)$ and $\mathsf{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y) = \mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(X, \Sigma Y)$. The triangles in (3) are canonical and yield endofunctors $\tau_{\leq 0}$ and $\tau_{\geq 1}$ of $\mathcal{D}$ such that $\tau_{\leq 0}X = U_X$ and $\tau_{\geq 1}X = V_X$. The functors $\tau_{\leq 0}$ and $\tau_{\geq 1}$ give rise to a family of cohomological functors $H^i = \tau_{\leq i} \circ \tau_{\geq i} : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{A}$, where $\tau_{\leq i} = \Sigma^{-i} \circ \tau_{\leq 0} \circ \Sigma^i$ and $\tau_{\geq i} = \Sigma^{-i+1} \circ \tau_{\geq 1} \circ \Sigma^{i-1}$. Moreover, for each $X \in \mathcal{D}$, we have a family of triangles

$$\tau_{\leq i}X \to X \to \tau_{\geq i+1}X \to \Sigma\tau_{\leq i}X, \text{ where } i \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

2.4. **Negative dg algebra associated to a silting object.** Recall that A is a finite-dimensional k-algebra. Let $T = \oplus_{i=1}^n T_i \in \mathsf{per}\, A$ be a basic silting object with indecomposable direct summands $T_1, \cdots, T_n$ and $\widetilde{\Gamma} = \mathsf{RHom}_A(T, T)$ the dg endomorphism algebra of T. By the definition of silting object, we know that the homology groups $H^i(\widetilde{\Gamma})$ vanish for all $i > 0$. Denote by $\Gamma = \tau_{\leq 0}\widetilde{\Gamma}$ the truncation algebra of $\widetilde{\Gamma}$. Let $\lambda : \Gamma \to \widetilde{\Gamma}$ be the canonical injective homomorphism of dg algebras. It is clear that λ induces an equivalence of derived categories $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma) \cong \mathcal{D}(\widetilde{\Gamma})$. On the other hand, we also have the surjective homomorphism $\pi : \Gamma \to H^0(\Gamma) \cong \mathsf{End}_A(T)$ of dg algebras, where $\mathsf{End}_A(T)$ is the endomorphism algebra of T in $\mathsf{per}\, A$.

Let $e_i = 1_{T_i} \in \mathsf{Hom}_A(T_i, T_i), 1 \leq i \leq n$, be the primitive orthogonal idempotents in $\mathsf{End}_A(T)$, which will induce a decomposition of the identity of Γ into a sum of primitive idempotents. By abuse of notations, we still denote the corresponding primitive idempotents by $e_1, \cdots, e_n$. Thus we have the decomposition of $\Gamma = \oplus_{i=1}^n e_i\Gamma$ into indecomposable right Γ-modules. Moreover, the images $[e_1\Gamma], \cdots, [e_n\Gamma]$ form a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of the Grothendieck group $\mathsf{G_0}(\mathsf{per}\,\Gamma)$ of the perfect derived category $\mathsf{per}\,\Gamma$ of Γ. Let $S_1^T, \cdots, S_n^T$ be pairwise non-isomorphic simple right $\mathsf{End}_A(T)$-modules. Via

the homomorphism π, each simple $\mathsf{End}_A(T)$-module S_i^T lifts to a simple dg Γ-module S_i^Γ. Let $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma)$ be the finite-dimensional derived category of Γ, that is the full triangulated subcategory of $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma)$ formed by the dg Γ-modules whose homology has finite total dimension over k. Similarly, the images $[S_1^\Gamma], \cdots, [S_n^\Gamma]$ form a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of the Grothendieck group $\mathsf{G_0}(\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma))$ of the finite-dimensional derived category $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma)$ of Γ. Let $\langle -,-\rangle_\Gamma : \mathsf{G_0}(\mathsf{per}\,\Gamma) \times \mathsf{G_0}(\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma)) \to k$ be the non-degenerate Euler bilinear form given by

$$\langle [P], [X]\rangle_\Gamma = \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \dim_k \mathsf{Hom}_\Gamma(P, \Sigma^i X),$$

where $P \in \mathsf{per}\,\Gamma$ and $X \in \mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma)$. For any $X \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma), t \in \mathbb{Z}$, we clearly have

$$\mathsf{Hom}_\Gamma(\Gamma, \Sigma^t X) = \begin{cases} k & t = 0; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

if and only if there exists a unique i such that $X \cong S_i^\Gamma$ in $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma)$. In other words, $[e_1\Gamma], \cdots, [e_n\Gamma]$ and $[S_1^\Gamma], \cdots, [S_n^\Gamma]$ are dual bases with respect to the Euler bilinear form $\langle -,-\rangle_\Gamma$.

Recall that T is a silting object in $\mathsf{per}\,A$, we have an equivalence $\mathcal{D}(\widetilde{\Gamma}) \cong \mathcal{D}(\mathsf{Mod}\,A)$ and hence an equivalence between $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma)$ and $\mathcal{D}(\mathsf{Mod}\,A)$. Indeed, view T as $\Gamma^{\mathsf{op}} \otimes_k A$-module, the equivalence is given by $F := \overset{L}{\otimes}_\Gamma T : \mathcal{D}(\Gamma) \to \mathcal{D}(\mathsf{Mod}\,A)$, which restricts to equivalences $\mathsf{per}\,\Gamma \cong \mathsf{per}\,A$ and $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma) \cong \mathcal{D}^b(\mathsf{mod}\,A)$ respectively.

Since Γ is a finite-dimensional negative dg algebra, there is a standard t-structure $(\mathcal{D}^{\leq 0}, \mathcal{D}^{\geq 0})$ on $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma)$ induced by the homology whose heart is equivalent to $\mathsf{mod}\,\mathsf{End}_A(T)$. More precisely,

$$\mathcal{D}^{\leq 0} = \{X \in \mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma) |\, \mathsf{Hom}_\Gamma(\Gamma, \Sigma^i X) = 0 \text{ for all } i > 0\},$$

$$\mathcal{D}^{\geq 0} = \{X \in \mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma) |\, \mathsf{Hom}_\Gamma(\Gamma, \Sigma^i X) = 0 \text{ for all } i < 0\}.$$

The standard t-structure $(\mathcal{D}^{\leq 0}, \mathcal{D}^{\geq 0})$ induces a t-structure on $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathsf{mod}\,A)$ via the functor F. Denote by $(\mathcal{D}_T^{\leq 0}, \mathcal{D}_T^{\geq 0})$ the resulting t-structure, that is

$$\mathcal{D}_T^{\leq 0} = \{X \in \mathcal{D}^b(\mathsf{mod}\,A) |\, \mathsf{Hom}_A(T, \Sigma^i X) = 0 \text{ for all } i > 0\},$$

$$\mathcal{D}_T^{\geq 0} = \{X \in \mathcal{D}^b(\mathsf{mod}\,A) |\, \mathsf{Hom}_A(T, \Sigma^i X) = 0 \text{ for all } i < 0\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{D}_T^{\leq 0} \cap \mathcal{D}_T^{\geq 0}$ be the heart of the t-structure $(\mathcal{D}_T^{\leq 0}, \mathcal{D}_T^{\geq 0})$. It is clear that $F(S_1^\Gamma), \cdots, F(S_n^\Gamma)$ are all the simple objects of $\mathcal{A}$. If T is a 2-term silting object, by Theorem 2.3 and 2.2, there is a support τ-tilting module and a functorially finite torsion class corresponding to T respectively. We have the following characterization

of $\mathcal{A}$ by torsion pair, which is a consequence of the bijections investigated in [28] (*cf.* also [6]), for completeness and later use, we include a proof.

Proposition 2.4. *Keep the notations above. Assume that T is a 2-term silting object and $M \in \mathsf{mod}\, A$ is the associated support τ-tilting A-module. Let $\mathcal{T}_M = \mathsf{Fac}\, M$ be the functorially finite torsion class associated to M and $\mathcal{F}_M = {}^{\perp}\mathcal{T}_M$ the torsion free class. Then $(\Sigma\mathcal{F}_M, \mathcal{T}_M)$ is a torsion pair of $\mathcal{A}$. As a consequence, each simple object of $\mathcal{A}$ lies either in $\Sigma\mathcal{F}_M$ or in $\mathcal{T}_M$.*

Proof. Let $P_1^M \xrightarrow{f} P_0^M \to M \to 0$ be a minimal projective resolution of M and (M, Q) the associated basic support τ-tilting pair. We have

$$T = \cdots \to 0 \to P_1^M \oplus Q \xrightarrow{(f,0)} P_0^M \to 0 \cdots,$$

where P_0^M is in the zeroth component. Note that $X \in \mathcal{A}$ if and only if $\mathsf{Hom}_A(T, \Sigma^i X) = 0$ for $i \neq 0$. A direct calculation shows that $\Sigma\mathcal{F}_M \subset \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{T}_M \subset \mathcal{A}$. On the other hand, we clearly have $\mathsf{Hom}_A(\Sigma\mathcal{F}_M, \mathcal{T}_M) = 0$. Note that the exact sequences of $\mathcal{A}$ are induced from the triangles of $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathsf{mod}\, A)$. Thus to prove $(\Sigma\mathcal{F}_M, \mathcal{T}_M)$ is a torsion pair of $\mathcal{A}$, it remains to show that for each $X \in \mathcal{A}$, there is a triangle $\Sigma F_0 \to X \to T_0 \to \Sigma^2 F_0$ in $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathsf{mod}\, A)$ with $T_0 \in \mathcal{T}_M$ and $F_0 \in \mathcal{F}_M$.

Let $(\mathcal{C}^{\leq 0}, \mathcal{C}^{\geq 0})$ be the standard t-structure on $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathsf{mod}\, A)$ and H^i the associated cohomological functors. We claim that if $X \in \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{D}^b(\mathsf{mod}\, A)$, then $H^i(X) = 0$ for $i \neq 0, -1$. For any $X \in \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{D}^b(\mathsf{mod}\, A)$, consider the following triangle

$$\tau_{\leq -2}X \to X \to \tau_{\geq -1}X \to \Sigma\tau_{\leq -2}X$$

induced by the standard t-structure $(\mathcal{C}^{\leq 0}, \mathcal{C}^{\geq 0})$. Applying the functor $\mathsf{Hom}_A(T, ?)$ yields a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \to \mathsf{Hom}_A(T, \Sigma^i\tau_{\leq -2}X) \to \mathsf{Hom}_A(T, \Sigma^i X) \to \mathsf{Hom}_A(T, \Sigma^i\tau_{\geq -1}X) \to \cdots.$$

We have $\mathsf{Hom}_A(T, \Sigma^i\tau_{\leq -2}X) = 0$ for all i since $\mathsf{Hom}_A(T, \Sigma^i X) = 0$ for all $i \neq 0$. Recall that we have $\mathsf{thick}(T) = \mathsf{per}\, A$, which implies that $\tau_{\leq -2}X = 0$ in $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathsf{mod}\, A)$. As a consequence, $X \cong \tau_{\geq -1}X \in \Sigma\mathcal{C}^{\geq 0}$. Now consider the triangle

$$\tau_{\leq 0}X \to X \to \tau_{\geq 1}X \to \Sigma\tau_{\leq 0}X,$$

applying the functor $\mathsf{Hom}_A(T, ?)$ to the triangle yields a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \to \mathsf{Hom}_A(T, \Sigma^i\tau_{\leq 0}X) \to \mathsf{Hom}_A(T, \Sigma^i X) \to \mathsf{Hom}_A(T, \Sigma^i\tau_{\geq 1}X) \to \cdots.$$

Again one can show that $\mathsf{Hom}_A(T, \Sigma^i\tau_{\geq 1}X) = 0$ for all i, and hence $\tau_{\geq 1}X = 0$ in $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathsf{mod}\, A)$. In particular, we have proved that $X \cong \tau_{\leq 0} \circ \tau_{\geq -1}X \in \mathcal{C}^{\leq 0} \cap \Sigma\mathcal{C}^{\geq 0}$, which implies that $H^i(X) = 0$ for $i \neq 0$ or -1.

By the standard t-structure $(\mathcal{C}^{\leq 0}, \mathcal{C}^{\geq 0})$, for each $X \in \mathcal{A}$, we have the following triangle in $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathsf{mod}\, A)$

$$\Sigma H^{-1}(X) \to X \to H^0(X) \to \Sigma^2 H^1(X).$$

It remains to show that $H^0(X) \in \mathcal{T}_M$ and $H^{-1}(X) \in \mathcal{F}_M$ for $X \in \mathcal{A}$. It is easy to see that $\mathsf{Hom}_A(T, \Sigma^i H^0(X)) = 0$ for all $i \neq 0$ and $\mathsf{Hom}_A(T, \Sigma^i H^{-1}(X)) = 0$ for all $i \neq 1$. Consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \to T_{H^0(X)} \to H^0(X) \to F_{H^0(X)} \to 0$$

induced by the torsion pair $(\mathcal{T}_M, \mathcal{F}_M)$ in $\mathsf{mod}\, A$ with $T_{H^0(X)} \in \mathcal{T}_M$ and $F_{H^0(X)} \in \mathcal{F}_M$. Applying $\mathsf{Hom}_A(T, ?)$ to the exact sequence, one can show that $\mathsf{Hom}_A(T, \Sigma F_{H^0(X)}) = 0$. Recall that we also have $\mathsf{Hom}_A(T, \Sigma^i F_{H^0(X)}) = 0$ for all $i \neq 1$. Consequently, $F_{H^0(X)} = 0$ in $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathsf{mod}\, A)$. In particular, we have $T_{H^0(X)} \cong H^0(X) \in \mathcal{T}_M$. Similarly, one can show that $H^{-1}(X) \in \mathcal{F}_M$. This finishes the proof. □

3. c-vectors and its sign-coherence

3.1. **Definition of c-vectors.** Recall that A is a finite-dimensional algebra over k and n is the number of non-isomorphic simple A-modules. Let $\mathsf{G_0}^{\mathrm{sp}}(\mathsf{add}\, A)$ be the split Grothendieck group of finitely generated projective A-modules. For a given τ-rigid A-module M, let $P_1^M \xrightarrow{f} P_0^M \to M \to 0$ be a minimal projective resolution of M, the *index* of M is defined to be $\mathsf{ind}(M) = [P_0^M] - [P_1^M] \in \mathsf{G_0}^{\mathrm{sp}}(\mathsf{add}\, A)$. The *g-vector* of M is $g(M) = (g_1, \cdots, g_n)' \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ with $g_i = [\mathsf{ind}(M) : P_i], 1 \leq i \leq n$. It has been proved in [3] that different τ-rigid A-modules have different indices and hence different g-vectors.

For a given basic support τ-tilting pair (M, P) with decomposition of indecomposable modules $M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{t} M_i, P = \bigoplus_{i=t+1}^{n} P_i^M$, we have the following G-*matrix* of (M, P)

$$\mathsf{G}_{(M,P)} = (g(M_1), g(M_2), \cdots, g(M_t), -g(P_{t+1}^M), \cdots, -g(P_n^M)) \in M_n(\mathbb{Z}).$$

We know from [3] that for any basic support τ-tilting pair (M, P) the G-matrix $\mathsf{G}_{(M,P)}$ is invertible over $\mathbb{Z}$. Inspired by the tropical dualities between g-vectors and c-vectors in cluster algebras, we introduce the C-matrix of a basic support τ-rigid pair (M, P) to be the inverse of the transpose of the G-matrix $\mathsf{G}_{(M,P)}$, *i.e.*

$$\mathsf{C}_{(M,P)} := (\mathsf{G}_{(M,P)}^T)^{-1} \in M_n(\mathbb{Z}).$$

Each column vector of $\mathsf{C}_{(M,P)}$ is called a *c-vector* of A and denote by $\mathsf{cv}(A)$ the set of all the c-vectors of A.

3.2. **Sign-coherence of c-vectors.** A vector c in $\mathbb{Z}^n$ is called *sign-coherence* if c has either all entries nonnegative or all entries nonpositive. A non-zero vector in $\mathbb{Z}^n$ is *positive* (resp. *negative*) if all components are nonnegative (resp. nonpositive). The sign-coherence phenomenon holds for this general setting.

Theorem 3.1. *Let A be a finite-dimensional algebra over k. Then each c-vector of A is sign-coherence.*

Proof. Let $S_1, \cdots, S_n$ be all the pairwise non-isomorphic simple A-modules and $P_1, \cdots, P_n$ the corresponding projective covers of $S_1, \cdots, S_n$ respectively. Let $\mathsf{G_0}(\mathsf{per}\, A)$ and $\mathsf{G_0}(\mathcal{D}^b(\mathsf{mod}\, A))$ be the Grothedieck groups of $\mathsf{per}\, A$ and $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathsf{mod}\, A)$ respectively. Denote by $\langle -,-\rangle_A : \mathsf{G_0}(\mathsf{per}\, A) \times \mathsf{G_0}(\mathcal{D}^b(\mathsf{mod}\, A)) \to k$ the Euler bilinear form given by $\langle [P],[X]\rangle_A = \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \dim_k \mathsf{Hom}_A(P, \Sigma^i X)$ for any $P \in \mathsf{per}\, A$ and $X \in \mathcal{D}^b(\mathsf{mod}\, A)$. It is clear that $[P_1], \cdots, [P_n]$ and $[S_1], \cdots, [S_n]$ are dual bases with respect to the Euler bilinear form $\langle -,-\rangle_A$.

Let (M,Q) be a basic support τ-tilting pair of A and T the corresponding 2-term silting object in $\mathsf{per}\, A$. Let $\Gamma = \oplus_{i=1}^n e_i\Gamma$ be the negative truncated dg algebra associated to T (*cf.* Section 2.4). Recall that we also have the Euler bilinear form $\langle -,-\rangle_\Gamma : \mathsf{G_0}(\mathsf{per}\,\Gamma) \times \mathsf{G_0}(\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma)) \to k$ and there is an equivalence of triangulated categories $F = \overset{L}{\otimes}_\Gamma T_A : \mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma) \to \mathcal{D}^b(\mathsf{mod}\, A)$. It is clear that the functor F induces an isomorphism of bilinear forms such that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \langle -,-\rangle_\Gamma : \mathsf{G_0}(\mathsf{per}\,\Gamma) \times \mathsf{G_0}(\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma)) & & \\ \Big\downarrow F & \searrow & \\ \langle -,-\rangle_A : \mathsf{G_0}(\mathsf{per}\, A) \times \mathsf{G_0}(\mathcal{D}^b(\mathsf{mod}\, A)) & \longrightarrow & k. \end{array}$$

Note that the column vectors the G-matrix associated to (M,Q) is the dimension vector of $F(e_i\Gamma)$ in $\mathsf{G_0}(\mathsf{per}\, A))$ with respect to the basis $[P_1], \cdots, [P_n]$. By the duality between G-matrix and C-matrix, we deduce that the c-vectors associated to (M,Q) are the dimension vectors of $F(S_i^\Gamma)$ for all the simple dg Γ-module S_i^Γ. Now the result follows from Proposition 2.4. □

As a byproduct of the proof, we have the following criterion of c-vectors.

Proposition 3.2. *Let A be a finite-dimensional algebra over k. A vector $c \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ is a c-vector of A if and only if there is a 2-term silting object $T \in \mathsf{per}\, A$ and an indecomposable A-module M satisfying one of the following conditions:*

$$(1)\ \mathsf{Hom}_A(T,\Sigma^i M)=\begin{cases}k & i=0;\\ 0 & \textit{otherwise.}\end{cases} \textit{ and } c=\underline{\dim}\, M;$$

$$(2)\ \mathsf{Hom}_A(T,\Sigma^i M)=\begin{cases}k & i=1;\\ 0 & \textit{otherwise.}\end{cases} \textit{ and } c=-\underline{\dim}\, M.$$

3.3. **Positive c-vectors and negative c-vectors.** Let $\mathsf{cv}^+(A)$ be the set of positive c-vectors of A and $\mathsf{cv}^-(A)$ the set of negative c-vectors. The following result is a consequence of the bijection between 2-term silting objects and 2-term simple-minded collections investigated in [6]. In order to avoid more notations, we give a proof using the mutation of silting objects.

Proposition 3.3. *Let A be a finite-dimensional algebra over k. We have $\mathsf{cv}^-(A)=-\mathsf{cv}^+(A)$. In particular, $\mathsf{cv}(A)=-\mathsf{cv}^+(A)\cup\mathsf{cv}^+(A)$.*

Proof. We show the inclusion $-\mathsf{cv}^+(A)\subseteq\mathsf{cv}^-(A)$. The inverse inclusion is similar. Let c be an arbitrary positive c-vector of A. Then there is a 2-term silting object, say $T\in\mathsf{per}\,A$ and an indecomposable A-module M such that $\mathsf{Hom}_A(T,M)=k$ and $\mathsf{Hom}_A(T,\Sigma^i M)=0$ for all $i\neq 0$. We may rewrite T as $T=T_M\oplus Q$ with T_M indecomposable such that $\mathsf{Hom}_A(T_M,M)=k,\mathsf{Hom}_A(T_M,\Sigma^i M)=0$ for $i\neq 0$ and $\mathsf{Hom}_A(Q,\Sigma^i M)=0$ for all $i\in\mathbb{Z}$. It is known that there is an indecomposable 2-term presilting, say T_N, such that $T'=T_N\oplus Q$ is a basic 2-term silting object in $\mathsf{per}\,A$. By Theorem 2.3 (1), we know that T and T' are related by a left or right mutation. We claim that T' is the left mutation of T. Otherwise, T is the left mutation of T' and we have the triangle $T_N\to Q_1\to T_M\to\Sigma T_N$ with $Q_1\in\mathsf{add}\,Q$. Applying the functor $\mathsf{Hom}_A(?,M)$, we have a long exact sequence

$$\cdots\mathsf{Hom}_A(T_M,\Sigma^i M)\to\mathsf{Hom}_A(Q_1,\Sigma^i M)\to\mathsf{Hom}_A(T_N,\Sigma^i M)\to\mathsf{Hom}_A(T_M,\Sigma^{i+1}M)\cdots,$$

which implies that $\mathsf{Hom}_A(T',\Sigma^{-1}M)=k$ and $\mathsf{Hom}_A(T',\Sigma^i M)=0$ for all $i\neq -1$. Let $\Gamma_{T'}$ be the negative dg algebra associated to T'. The conditions $\mathsf{Hom}_A(T',\Sigma^{-1}M)=k$ and $\mathsf{Hom}_A(T',\Sigma^i M)=0$ for all $i\neq -1$ imply that $\mathsf{RHom}_A(T',\Sigma^{-1}M)$ is a simple $\Gamma_{T'}$-module, which contradicts to Proposition 2.4.

Therefore T' has to be the left mutation of T and there is a triangle

$$T_M\to Q_2\to T_N\to\Sigma T_M,\text{where } Q_2\in\mathsf{add}\,Q.$$

Applying the functor $\mathsf{Hom}_A(?,M)$, we obtain a long exact sequence

$$\cdots\mathsf{Hom}_A(T_N,\Sigma^i M)\to\mathsf{Hom}_A(Q_2,\Sigma^i M)\to\mathsf{Hom}_A(T_M,\Sigma^i M)\to\mathsf{Hom}_A(T_N,\Sigma^{i+1}M)\cdots.$$

We have $\mathsf{Hom}_A(T_N, \Sigma M) = k$ and $\mathsf{Hom}_A(T_N, \Sigma^i M) = 0$ for all $i \neq 1$. As a consequence, $\mathsf{Hom}_A(T', \Sigma M) = k$ and $\mathsf{Hom}_A(T', \Sigma^i M) = 0$ for all $i \neq 1$. In particular, $-\underline{\dim} M$ is a negative c-vector by Proposition 3.2 and we have $-\mathsf{cv}^+(A) \subseteq \mathsf{cv}^-(A)$. □

3.4. **The left-right symmetry of c-vectors.** Let A^{op} be the opposite k-algebra of A. We have the dualities

$$D = \mathsf{Hom}_k(?, k) : \mathsf{mod}\, A \to \mathsf{mod}\, A^{\mathsf{op}} \text{ and } (-)^* = \mathsf{Hom}_A(?, A) : \mathsf{add}\, A \to \mathsf{add}\, A^{\mathsf{op}}.$$

For any $X \in \mathsf{mod}\, A$, let

$$P_1 \xrightarrow{d} P_0 \to X \to 0$$

be a minimal projective resolution of X, its transpose $\mathsf{Tr}\, X \in \mathsf{mod}\, A^{\mathsf{op}}$ is defined by the following exact sequence

$$P_0^* \xrightarrow{d^*} P_1^* \to \mathsf{Tr}\, X \to 0.$$

For any $M \in \mathsf{mod}\, A$, we can decompose M as $M = M_{pr} \oplus M_{np}$, where M_{pr} is a maximal projective direct summand of M. The following left-right symmetry of τ-rigid modules has been established in [3] (*cf.* Theorem 2.14 of [3]).

Theorem 3.4. *Let A be a finite-dimensional k-algebra. There is a bijection $(-)^\circ$ between $\mathsf{s}\tau\text{-}\mathsf{tilt}\, A$ and $\mathsf{s}\tau\text{-}\mathsf{tilt}\, A^{\mathsf{op}}$ given by $(M, Q)^\circ = (\mathsf{Tr}\, M_{np} \oplus Q^*, M_{pr}^*)$, where (M, Q) is a support τ-tilting pair of A. Moreover, $(-)^{\circ\circ} = \mathsf{id}$.*

Let M be an indecomposable non-projective τ-rigid A-modules. By Theorem 3.4, we infer that $\mathsf{Tr}\, M$ is also τ-rigid as A^{op}-module. Moreover, we clearly have $g(M) = -g(\mathsf{Tr}\, M)$. On the other hand, for any indecomposable projective A-module P, we also have $g(P) = -g(P^*)$. Now the following result is an immediate consequence of the definition of c-vectors and Theorem 3.4, Theorem 3.1 and Proposition 3.3.

Proposition 3.5. *Let A be a finite-dimensional k-algebra and A^{op} its opposite algebra. Then we have $\mathsf{cv}(A) = -\mathsf{cv}(A^{\mathsf{op}})$ and $\mathsf{cv}^+(A) = \mathsf{cv}^+(A^{\mathsf{op}})$.*

4. c-vectors vs dimension vectors

For an algebra A, let $\mathsf{dv}(A)$ be the set of dimension vectors of indecomposable A-modules. By Proposition 3.2, we know that each positive c-vector can be realized as the dimension vector of an indecomposable A-module, that is, $\mathsf{cv}^+(A) \subseteq \mathsf{dv}(A)$. However, the inverse inclusion is not true in general. The aim of this section is to study the positive c-vectors for quasitilted algebras, representation-directed algebras and cluster-tilted algebras of finite type. In particular, we recover the equalities of

c-vectors for acyclic cluster algebras and skew-symmetric cluster algebras of finite type respectively obtained by Nájera Chávez.

4.1. c-vectors of quasitilted algebras.

4.1.1. *Hereditary abelian categories.* We follow [29]. Throughout this section, let $\mathcal{H}$ be a hereditary abelian k-category with finite-dimensional morphism and extension spaces. As a consequence of finite-dimensional morphism space, $\mathcal{H}$ is a Krull-Schmidt category, *i.e.* each object of $\mathcal{H}$ is a finite direct sum of indecomposable objects with local endomorphism ring. We refer to [29] for examples and basic properties of hereditary categories.

Let $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$ be the bounded derived category of $\mathcal{H}$ with the suspension functor Σ. An object X in $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$ is called *rigid* provided $\mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})}(X, \Sigma X) = 0$. A rigid object X is *exceptional* if $\dim_k \mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})}(X, X) = 1$. The following fundamental result is due to Happel-Ringel [23] (*cf.* also [1, 29]).

Lemma 4.1. *Let E and F be indecomposable objects in $\mathcal{H}$ such that $\mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})}(F, \Sigma E) = 0$. Then all non-zero homomorphism $f : E \to F$ is a monomorphism or epimorphism. In particular, each indecomposable E without self-extensions is exceptional.*

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a full subcategory of $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$ and M an indecomposable object in $\mathcal{C}$. A path in $\mathcal{C}$ from M to itself is called a *cycle* in $\mathcal{C}$, that is a sequence of non-zero non-isomorphism between indecomposable objects in $\mathcal{C}$ of the form

$$M = M_0 \xrightarrow{f_1} M_1 \xrightarrow{f_2} M_2 \cdots \xrightarrow{f_r} M_r = M.$$

The following result is a consequence of Lemma 4.1, which is crucial for our investigation of c-vectors for quasitilted algebras.

Lemma 4.2. *Let T be an object in $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})}(T, \Sigma T) = 0$. Then the subcategory $\mathsf{add}\, T$ has no cycle.*

Proof. Suppose that there is a cycle in $\mathsf{add}\, T$, say $M = M_0 \xrightarrow{f_1} M_1 \xrightarrow{f_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_r} M_r = M$, where $M_1, \cdots, M_r$ are indecomposable objects in $\mathsf{add}\, T$. We may assume that $M_0 \in \mathcal{H}$. Note that M_0 is exceptional and f_1 is non-zero non-isomorphism, which imply that $M_1 \ncong M_0$. We claim that some of $M_1, \cdots, M_{r-1}$ are not in $\mathcal{H}$. Otherwise, by Lemma 4.1, each f_i is either monomorphism or epimorphism. If there is an epimorphism f_i followed by a monomorphism f_{i+1}, then $f_{i+1} \circ f_i : M_{i-1} \to M_{i+1}$ is non-zero and is neither a monomorphism nor an epimorphism, which contradicts to Lemma 4.1. On the other hand, if there is a monomorphism f_i followed by an epimorphism f_{i+1}, we may consider the cycle $M_i \xrightarrow{f_{i+1}} \cdots M_r \xrightarrow{f_1} M_1 \xrightarrow{f_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{i-2}} M_{i-1} \xrightarrow{f_i} M_i$. This cycle turns out to admit an epimorphism followed by a monomorphism,

a contradiction. Hence all of the f_i are either epimorphisms or monomorphisms. Note that $\dim_k \mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(M_0, M_0) = 1$, we deduce that $f_r \circ \cdots \circ f_1$ is an isomorphism. Consequently, f_1 is an isomorphism, a contradiction.

Note that $\mathcal{H}$ is hereditary, $\mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})}(\mathcal{H}, \Sigma^i\mathcal{H}) = 0$ for all $i \neq 0, 1$. Since we have assume that M_0 belongs to $\mathcal{H}$ and all f_i are non-zero, we deduce that some of $M_1, \cdots, M_{r-1}$ belong to $\Sigma^t\mathcal{H}$ for certain $t > 0$. In particular, M_{r-1} belongs to $\Sigma^m\mathcal{H}$ for some $m > 0$. Consequently, $\mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(M_{r-1}, M_0) = 0$, which contradicts to $f_r \neq 0$. □

Corollary 4.3. *Let A be a finite-dimensional k-algebra such that $\mathsf{mod}\, A$ is equivalent to the heart of a bounded t-structure on $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$. Then the Gabriel quiver Q_A of A has no cycle.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the heart of a bounded t-structure on $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$ which is equivalent to $\mathsf{mod}\, A$. We consider A as an object in $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$ via the equivalence. We clearly have $\mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})}(A, \Sigma A) = \mathsf{Ext}^1_A(A, A) = 0$. Note that any cycle in the Gabriel quiver Q_A induces an oriented cycle in $\mathsf{add}\, A$. Now the result follows from Lemma 4.2. □

For any finite-dimensional k-algebra A, set

$$\tau\mathsf{dv}(A) := \{\underline{\dim}\, M | M \in \mathsf{mod}\, A \text{ is indecomposable } \tau\text{-rigid}\}.$$

The following result gives a lower bound of c-vector for algebras related to the hearts of bounded t-structures on $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$.

Proposition 4.4. *Let A be a finite-dimensional k-algebra such that $\mathsf{mod}\, A$ is equivalent to the heart of a bounded t-structure on $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$. Then we have $\tau\mathsf{dv}(A) \subseteq \mathsf{cv}^+(A)$.*

Proof. We need to prove that for any indecomposable τ-rigid A-module M, $\underline{\dim}\, M \in \mathsf{cv}^+(A)$. Since M is τ-rigid, the subcategory $\mathsf{Fac}\, M$ is a functorially finite torsion class. Let $P = P(\mathsf{Fac}\, M)$ be the direct sum of one copy of each of indecomposable Ext-projective objects in $\mathsf{Fac}\, M$. We may write $P = M \oplus M_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus M_r$. Note that P is a support τ-tilting A-module, hence $\mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})}(P, \Sigma P) = \mathsf{Ext}^1_A(P, P) = 0$. On the other hand, by the definition of $\mathsf{Fac}\, M$, we deduce that $\mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})}(M, M_i) = \mathsf{Hom}_A(M, M_i) \neq 0$ for any i. Now Lemma 4.2 implies that $\mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(M_i, M) = 0$ for all i. Let T be the 2-term silting object in $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathsf{mod}\, A)$ corresponding to P. A direct calculation shows that $\mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})}(T, M) = k$ and $\mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})}(T, \Sigma^i M) = 0$ for all $i \neq 0$. Hence $\underline{\dim}\, M$ is a positive c-vector of A by Proposition 3.2. In particular, we have proved that $\tau\mathsf{dv}(A) \subseteq \mathsf{cv}^+(A)$. □

4.1.2. *Piecewise hereditary algebras.* Recall that $\mathcal{H}$ is a hereditary abelian category with finite-dimensional morphism and extension spaces, $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$ is the bounded derived category of $\mathcal{H}$. An object $T \in \mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$ is a *tilting complex* if

(1) $\mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})}(T, \Sigma^n T) = 0$ for all $0 \neq n \in \mathbb{Z}$;
(2) for each $X \in \mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$, the condition $\mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})}(T, \Sigma^n X) = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ implies that $X = 0$ in $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$.

A tilting complex T of $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$ is called a *tilting object* of $\mathcal{H}$ if $T \in \mathcal{H}$. A finite-dimensional k-algebra A is called *piecewise hereditary* if A is isomorphic to the endomorphism algebra of a tilting complex T in $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$. It is called *quasitilted* if moreover T is a tilting object in $\mathcal{H}$. A tilting complex T induces an equivalence of triangulated categories $? \overset{L}{\otimes}_{\mathsf{End}_{\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})}(T)} T : \mathcal{D}^b(\mathsf{mod}\,\mathsf{End}_{\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})}(T)) \to \mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$. By Happel's theorem [22], if $\mathcal{H}$ is a connected hereditary abelian k-category with finite-dimensional morphism and extension spaces which admits a tilting complex, then $\mathcal{H}$ is derived equivalent to the category $\mathsf{mod}\,H$ for certain finite-dimensional hereditary k-algebra or to the category $\mathsf{coh}\,\mathbb{X}$ of coherent sheaves over a weighted projective line [19]. Note that when $\mathcal{H}$ is the category $\mathsf{mod}\,H$ for some finite-dimensional hereditary k-algebra, the endomorphism algebra of a tilting module in $\mathsf{mod}\,H$ is called a *tilted algebra* [5, 23]. In particular, tilted algebras are quasitilted.

Let A be a finite-dimensional k-algebra which is derived equivalent to $\mathcal{H}$. The algebra A turns out to be piecewise hereditary. Indeed, let $K : \mathcal{D}^b(\mathsf{mod}\,A) \to \mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$ be the triangle equivalent functor. It is clear that $K(A)$ is a tilting complex of $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$ and $A \cong \mathsf{End}_{\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})}(K(A))$. For a finite-dimensional k-algebra A, a module M is called *exceptional* provided $\dim_k \mathsf{Hom}_A(M, M) = 1$ and $\mathsf{Ext}^1_A(M, M) = 0$. We define

$$\mathsf{exdv}(A) = \{\underline{\dim}\, M | M \in \mathsf{mod}\, A \text{ is exceptional}\}.$$

Our next result gives a upper bounded of positive c-vectors by exceptional modules for piecewise hereditary algebras.

Proposition 4.5. *Let A be a piecewise hereditary k-algebra. Then we have*

$$\tau\mathsf{dv}(A) \subseteq \mathsf{cv}^+(A) \subseteq \mathsf{exdv}(A).$$

Proof. The first inclusion $\tau\mathsf{dv}(A) \subseteq \mathsf{cv}^+(A)$ follows from Proposition 4.4 directly.

Let c be a positive c-vector, by Proposition 3.2, there is a 2-term silting object $T \in \mathsf{per}\, A$ and an indecomposable A-module M with $\underline{\dim}\, M = c$ such that $\mathsf{Hom}_A(T, M) = k$ and $\mathsf{Hom}_A(T, \Sigma^i M) = 0$ for all $i \neq 0$. Let Γ be the negative dg algebra associated to T and $F := \overset{L}{\otimes}_\Gamma T : \mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma) \to \mathcal{D}^b(\mathsf{mod}\, A)$ the triangle equivalent functor. The condition $\mathsf{Hom}_A(T, M) = k$ and $\mathsf{Hom}_A(T, \Sigma^i M) = 0$ for all $i \neq 0$ implies that there

is a simple dg Γ-module S such that $M \cong F(S)$. Moreover, the simple dg Γ-module S is a simple object in the heart $\mathcal{A}$ of the standard bounded t-structure $(\mathcal{D}^{\leq 0}, \mathcal{D}^{\geq 0})$ on $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma)$. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the hereditary abelian category such that $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathsf{mod}\, A) \cong \mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$, then we have $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma) \cong \mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$. Therefore $\mathcal{A}$ is equivalent to the heart of a bounded t-structure on $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$. On the other hand, we have $\mathcal{A} \cong \mathsf{mod}\, \mathsf{End}_A(T)$. Hence $\mathsf{Hom}_A(M, M) = \mathsf{Hom}_\Gamma(S, S) = \mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(S, S) = k$. Moreover, by Corollary 4.3, we deduce that $0 = \mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(S, \Sigma S) = \mathsf{Hom}_\Gamma(S, \Sigma S) = \mathsf{Ext}^1_A(M, M)$. In particular, $c \in \mathsf{exdv}(A)$. This completes the proof. □

If A is a finite-dimensional hereditary algebra over k, then we clearly have $\tau\mathsf{dv}(A) = \mathsf{exdv}(A)$. Hence we obtain the equalities for acyclic cluster algebras established by Nájera Chávez in [32].

Corollary 4.6. *Let A be a finite-dimensional hereditary algebra over k. We have $\mathsf{cv}^+(A) = \mathsf{exdv}(A)$.*

4.1.3. *Quasitilted algebras.* Let A be a quasitilted algebra. By definition, there is a hereditary abelian k-category $\mathcal{H}$ with a tilting object $T \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $A \cong \mathsf{End}_{\mathcal{H}}(T)$. In this case, the category $\mathsf{mod}\, A$ of finitely generated right A-modules has a nice interpretation via torsion theory of $\mathcal{H}$.

Let $\mathcal{T}$ (resp. $\mathcal{F}$) be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{H}$ consisting of all objects X (resp. Y) of $\mathcal{H}$ satisfying $\mathsf{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{H}}(T, X) = 0$ (resp. $\mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}}(T, Y) = 0$). Let $F : \mathcal{D}^b(\mathsf{mod}\, A) \to \mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$ be the triangle equivalent functor. Then the standard t-structure $(\mathcal{D}^{\leq 0}, \mathcal{D}^{\geq 0})$ on $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathsf{mod}\, A)$ induces a t-structure $(\mathcal{D}_T^{\leq 0}, \mathcal{D}_T^{\geq 0})$ via the functor F (*cf.* Section 2.4). Moreover, $\mathsf{mod}\, A$ is equivalent to the heart $\mathcal{A}$ of $(\mathcal{D}_T^{\leq 0}, \mathcal{D}_T^{\geq 0})$ via the functor F. The following results are well-known, see [5, 23, 29].

Lemma 4.7.

(1) *$(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$ is a torsion pair over $\mathcal{H}$;*
(2) *$(\Sigma\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{T})$ is a splitting torsion pair over $\mathcal{A}$;*
(3) *Under the identification of $\mathsf{mod}\, A$ with $\mathcal{A}$, we have $\mathsf{pd}\, M_A \leq 1$ for $M \in \mathcal{T}$ and $\mathsf{id}\, N_A \leq 1$ for $N \in \Sigma\mathcal{F}$.*

Theorem 4.8. *Let A be a quasitilted algebra over k, then we have $\mathsf{cv}^+(A) = \mathsf{exdv}(A)$.*

Proof. We need to show that for each exceptional A-module M, the dimension vector $\underline{\dim}\, M$ is a positive c-vector of A. We identify $\mathsf{mod}\, A$ with $\mathcal{A}$ as above. Let M be an exceptional A-module. Since $(\Sigma\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{T})$ is splitting, M lies either in $\mathcal{T}$ or in $\Sigma\mathcal{F}$. If $M \in \mathcal{T}$, then by Lemma 4.7 we have $\mathsf{pd}\, M \leq 1$. As a consequence, M is an

indecomposable τ-rigid A-module. By Proposition 4.4, we deduce that $\underline{\dim}\, M$ is a positive c-vector of A.

Now suppose that $M \in \Sigma\mathcal{F}$, then $\mathsf{id}\, M \leq 1$. Recall that we have the usual duality $D = \mathsf{Hom}_k(?, k) : \mathsf{mod}\, A \to \mathsf{mod}\, A^{\mathsf{op}}$. It is clear that $D(M) \in \mathsf{mod}\, A^{\mathsf{op}}$ is an exceptional A^{op}-module and has projective dimension at most one. Therefore $D(M)$ is an indecomposable τ-rigid A^{op}-module. On the other hand, we clearly have $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathsf{mod}\, A^{\mathsf{op}}) \cong \mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H}^{\mathsf{op}})$, where $\mathcal{H}^{\mathsf{op}}$ is the opposite category of $\mathcal{H}$, which is a hereditary abelian category. By Proposition 4.4 again, we deduce that $\underline{\dim}\, D(M)$ is a positive c-vector of A^{op}. Note that $\underline{\dim}\, M = \underline{\dim}\, D(M)$ and $\mathsf{cv}^+(A) = \mathsf{cv}^+(A^{\mathsf{op}})$, we have $\underline{\dim}\, M \in \mathsf{cv}^+(A)$. Now the result follows from Proposition 4.5. □

Remark 4.9. *Let A be a finite dimensional algebra of finite global dimension. One can construct several Lie algebras related to A(cf.* [18]*). Namely, the Ringel-Hall Lie algebra $\mathfrak{CLR}(A)$ in the sense of Peng-Xiao* [37]*, the Borcherds type Lie algebra $\mathfrak{BL}(A)$ associated to the symmetric bilinear form of A* [18] *and the intersection matrix Lie algebra $im(A)$ in the sense of Slodowy* [41]*. All of these Lie algebras are graded by the Grothendieck group $\mathsf{G}_0(\mathsf{mod}\, A)$ of A. When A is a tilted algebra, all of the three Lie algebras are isomorphic to a Kac-Moody Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}(A)$. Let ϕ be the induced isomorphism between $\mathsf{G}_0(\mathsf{mod}\, A)$ and the root lattice of $\mathfrak{g}(A)$. We call a root $\alpha \in \mathsf{G}_0(\mathsf{mod}\, A)$ of $im(A)$ is a real Schur root of A if the image $\phi(\alpha)$ is a real Schur root of $\mathfrak{g}(A)$. Then the above theorem implies that for a tilted algebra A, its positive c-vectors coincide with the positive real Schur roots of A*

4.2. **c-vectors of representation-directed algebras.** A finite-dimensional k-algebra is called *representation-directed* if there is no cycle in $\mathsf{mod}\, A$. Let A be a finite-dimensional representation-directed k-algebra. By the definition, we know that every indecomposable A-module is τ-rigid and also exceptional. We have the following equality.

Proposition 4.10. *Let A be a representation-directed algebra over k. We have $\mathsf{cv}^+(A) = \mathsf{exdv}(A)$.*

Proof. We need to prove the dimension vector of each indecomposable A-module is a positive c-vector. Let M be an arbitrary indecomposable A-modules. Consider the torsion class $\mathsf{Fac}\, M$ generated by M, which is a functorially finite torsion class. Let $N := P(\mathsf{Fac}\, M)$ be the direct sum of one copy of each of the indecomposable Ext-projective objects in $\mathsf{Fac}\, M$. We clearly have $M \in \mathsf{add}\, N$. By Theorem 2.2, we deduce that N is a support τ-tilting A-module. Equivalently, there is a projective

A-module P such that (N, P) is a support τ-tilting pair. Let T be the corresponding 2-term silting complex. A direct calculation shows that $\mathsf{Hom}_A(T, M) \cong k$ and $\mathsf{Hom}_A(T, \Sigma^i M) = 0$ for $i \neq 0$. In particular, the dimension vector $\underline{\dim}\, M$ is a c-vector of A by Proposition 3.2. This finishes the proof. □

Note that an algebra derived equivalent to a representation-finite hereditary algebra has to be a representation-direct algebra. We have the following special case of the above result.

Corollary 4.11. *Let A be finite-dimensional algebra over k. If A is derived equivalent to a representation-finite hereditary algebra, then $\mathsf{cv}^+(A) = \mathsf{exdv}(A)$.*

4.3. **c-vectors of cluster-tilted algebras.** We follow [8]. Let H be a finite-dimensional hereditary k-algebra and $\mathcal{D}^b(H)$ the bounded derived category of finitely generated right H-modules. Denote by Σ the suspension functor of $\mathcal{D}^b(H)$ and τ the Auslander-Reiten translation functor. The cluster category $\mathcal{C}_H$ has been introduced in [8] as the orbit category $\mathcal{D}^b(H)/\tau^{-1} \circ \Sigma$ of $\mathcal{D}^b(H)$. It admits a canonical triangle structure such that the projection $\pi_H : \mathcal{D}^b(H) \to \mathcal{D}^b(H)/\tau^{-1} \circ \Sigma$ is a triangle functor [24]. An object T in $\mathcal{C}_H$ is called a *cluster-tilting object* provided that

- $\mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_H}(T, \Sigma T) = 0$;
- if $X \in \mathcal{C}_H$ such that $\mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_H}(T, \Sigma X) = 0$, then $X \in \mathsf{add}\, T$.

Let n be the number of pairwise non-isomorphic simple H-modules. Each basic cluster-tilting object in $\mathcal{C}_H$ has exactly n indecomposable direct summands. The endomorphism algebra $\mathsf{End}_{\mathcal{C}_H}(T)$ of a basic cluster-tilting object $T \in \mathcal{C}_H$ is a *cluster-tilted algebra* of type H (*cf.* [7]). It is known that cluster-tilted algebras are 1-Gorenstein algebras. Moreover, the functor $\mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_H}(T, ?) : \mathcal{C}_H \to \mathsf{mod}\, \mathsf{End}_{\mathcal{C}_H}(T)$ yields an equivalence $\mathcal{C}_H/\Sigma T \cong \mathsf{mod}\, \mathsf{End}_{\mathcal{C}_H}(T)$, where $\mathcal{C}_H/\Sigma T$ is the additive quotient of $\mathcal{C}_H$ by the morphism factorizing through ΣT (*cf.* [7, 26]).

Proposition 4.12. *Let H be a finite-dimensional hereditary k-algebra and $\mathcal{C}_H$ the corresponding cluster category. Let T be a cluster-tilting object and A the endomorphism algebra of T. Let M be an indecomposable preprojective or preinjective H-module such that $\mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_H}(T, M) \neq 0$, then the dimension vector $\underline{\dim}\, \mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_H}(T, M)$ of A-module is a positive c-vector of A.*

Proof. It is easy to see that there is a cluster tilting object $T_M = M \oplus M_1 \cdots \oplus M_{n-1}$ such that $\mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_H}(M_i, M) = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Applying the functor $\mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_H}(T, ?)$, we deduce that $N_A := \mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_H}(T, T_M)$ is a support τ-tilting A-module. Let P be the

2-term silting object corresponding to N_A. A direct calculation shows that

$$\mathsf{Hom}_A(P, \Sigma^i \mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_H}(T, M)) = \begin{cases} k & i = 0; \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

In particular, $\underline{\dim}\ \mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_H}(T, M)$ is a positive c-vector of A by Proposition 3.2. □

Note that for a representation-finite hereditary algebra H, each H-module is a preprojective module. As a consequence, we recover the following equality of c-vectors for skew-symmetric cluster algebras of finite type in [33].

Corollary 4.13. *Let A be a cluster-tilted algebra of representation-finite type. We have $\mathsf{cv}^+(A) = \mathsf{dv}(A)$.*

Appendix A. Sign-coherence of c-vectors for skew-symmetric cluster algebras

In this section, we recall the tropical dualities between c-vectors and g-vectors for cluster algebras with principal coefficients. We give an interpretation of cluster algebras of finite type via the finiteness of c-vectors and a short proof for the sign-coherence property of c-vectors for skew-symmetric cluster algebras.

A.1. **Cluster algebras with principal coefficients and c-vectors.** We follow [15, 17]. For an integer x, we set $[x]_+ = \mathsf{max}\{x, 0\}$ and $\mathsf{sgn}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x}{|x|} & x \neq 0 \\ 0 & x = 0 \end{cases}$. Let $1 \leq n \leq m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\mathbb{QP}$ be the algebra of Laurent polynomials in the variables $x_{n+1}, \cdots, x_m$ and $\mathcal{F}$ the field of fractions of the ring of polynomials with coefficients in $\mathbb{QP}$ in n indeterminates. A matrix $B \in M_n(\mathbb{Z})$ is *skew-symmetrizable* if there exists a diagonal martrix $D = \mathrm{diag}\{d_1, \cdots, d_n\}$ with positive integer entries such that DB is skew-symmetric. In this case, the matrix D is call a *skew-symmetrizer* of B. A *seed* in $\mathcal{F}$ is a pair $(\widetilde{B}, \mathbf{x})$ consisting of an $m \times n$ integer matrix $\widetilde{B}$ whose *principal part* (that is the submatrix formed by the first n rows) is skew-symmetrizable and a free generating set $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\}$ of the field $\mathcal{F}$. The matrix $\widetilde{B}$ is the *exchange matrix* and $\mathbf{x}$ is the *cluster* of the seed $(\widetilde{B}, \mathbf{x})$. Elements of the cluster $\mathbf{x}$ are *cluster variables* of the seed $(\widetilde{B}, \mathbf{x})$.

For any $1 \leq k \leq n$, the *seed mutation of* $(\widetilde{B}, \mathbf{x})$ *in the direction* k transforms $(\widetilde{B}, \mathbf{x})$ into a new seed $\mu_k(\widetilde{B}, \mathbf{x}) = (\widetilde{B}', \mathbf{x}')$, where

- the entries b'_{ij} of $\widetilde{B}'$ are given by

$$b'_{ij} = \begin{cases} -b_{ij} & \text{if } i = k \text{ or } j = k, \\ b_{ij} + \mathsf{sgn}(b_{ik})[b_{ik}b_{kj}]_+ & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

- the cluster $\mathbf{x}' = \{x'_1, \cdots, x'_n\}$ is given by $x'_j = x_j$ for $j \neq k$ and $x'_k \in \mathcal{F}$ is determined by the *exchange relation*

$$x'_k x_k = \prod_{i=1}^{m} x_i^{[b_{ik}]_+} + \prod_{i=1}^{m} x_i^{[-b_{ik}]_+}.$$

Mutation in a fixed direction is an involution. The *cluster algebra with coefficients* $\mathcal{A}(\widetilde{B}) = \mathcal{A}(\widetilde{B}, \mathbf{x})$ is the subalgebra of $\mathcal{F}$ generated by all the cluster variables which can be obtained from the initial seed $(\widetilde{B}, \mathbf{x})$ by iterated mutations. We call a cluster algebra *skew-symmetric type* if the principal part of its initial exchange matrix is skew-symmetric. If $m = 2n$ and the *coefficient part* of initial exchange matrix $\widetilde{B}$ (that is the submatrix formed by the last $m - n$ rows) is the identity matrix E_n, then $\mathcal{A}(\widetilde{B})$ is a *cluster algebra with principal coefficients*.

Let $\mathbb{T}_n$ be the n-regular tree, whose edges are labeled by the numbers $1, 2, \cdots, n$ so that the n edges emanating from each vertex carry different labels. A *cluster pattern* is the assignment of a seed $(\widetilde{B}_t, \mathbf{x}_t)$ to each vertex t of $\mathbb{T}_n$ such that the seeds assigned to vertices t and t' linked by an edge labeled k are obtained from each other by the seed mutation μ_k. A cluster pattern is uniquely determined by an assignment of the initial seed $(\widetilde{B}, \mathbf{x})$ to any vertex $t_0 \in \mathbb{T}_n$.

Let $\mathcal{A}(\widetilde{B})$ be a cluster algebra with principal coefficients. We fix a cluster pattern of $\mathcal{A}(\widetilde{B})$. For any $t \in \mathbb{T}_n$, let C_t be the coefficient part of the matrix $\widetilde{B}_t$. Each column vector of C_t is called a *c-vector* of $\mathcal{A}(\widetilde{B})$. The following sign-coherence property has been conjectured by Fomin-Zelevinsky [15] for any cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}(\widetilde{B})$ with principal coefficients, which has been established in [21] recently.

Theorem A.1. *Each c-vector of $\mathcal{A}(\widetilde{B})$ is sign-coherence.*

Let us mention here that for skew-symmetric cluster algebras, the sign-coherence conjecture has been confirmed by Derksen-Weyman-Zelevinsky [11], Plamondon [38] and Nagao [31]. Demonet [9] also established the sign-coherence conjecture for certain skew-symmetrizable cluster algebras such as cluster algebras admit unfoldings. In the end of this section, We shall also give a direct proof for the sign-coherence property of skew-symmetric cluster algebras basing on Proposition 6.10 of [17].

A.2. **g-vectors and tropical dualities.** Let $\mathcal{A}(\widetilde{B})$ be a cluster algebra with principal coefficients. We fix a cluster pattern of $\mathcal{A}(\widetilde{B})$ as before.

Let $x_1, \cdots, x_n$ be the initial cluster variables. A fundamental result of Fomin-Zelevinsky [13] says that each cluster variable x_j^t of the cluster $\mathbf{x}_t$ is expressed as

$$X_j^t(x_1, \cdots, x_{2n}) \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1^{\pm}, \cdots, x_n^{\pm}, x_{n+1}, \cdots, x_{2n}].$$

Set $\deg(x_i) = e_i$ and $\deg(x_{n+i}) = -b_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, where $e_1, \cdots, e_n$ is the standard basis of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ and b_i is the i-th column of the principal part of $\widetilde{B}$. This makes $\mathbb{Z}[x_1^{\pm}, \cdots, x_n^{\pm}, x_{n+1}, \cdots, x_{2n}]$ into a $\mathbb{Z}^n$-graded ring. In [15], they proved that each X_j^t is homogeneous with respect to the $\mathbb{Z}^n$-grading. Denote by $\deg(X_j^t) = (g_{1j}, \cdots, g_{nj})' \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ the grading of X_j^t and set $G_t = (g_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$. The matrix G_t is called the *G-matrix* of $\mathcal{A}(\widetilde{B})$ at vertex t and its column vectors are *g-vectors*. The g-vectors were introduced in [15] to parameterize cluster variables and they conjectured that

Conjecture A.2. *Different cluster variables have different g-vectors.*

This conjecture has been verified for skew-symmetric cluster algebras by Derksen-Weyman-Zelevinsky [11], Plamondon [38], Nagao [31] by using representation theory of quivers with potentials and for certain skew-symmetrizable cluster algebras (*e.g* cluster algebras admit unfoldings) by Demonet [9]. The definition of g-vectors is quite different from the one of c-vectors, but a recently work of Nakanishi [34] and Nakanishi-Zelevinsky [36] showed that there are so-called tropical dualities between c-vectors and g-vectors. The following theorem has been proved in [34] for skew-symmetric cluster algebras and then generalized to skew-symmetrizable cluster algebras in [36] by assuming the sign-coherence conjecture.

Theorem A.3. *Let $\mathcal{A}(\widetilde{B})$ be a skew-symmetrizable cluster algebra with principal coefficients whose skew-symmetrizer is D, then for each vertex $t \in \mathbb{T}_n$,*

$$G_t' D C_t = D.$$

A.3. **Cluster algebras of finite type via c-vectors.** A cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}(\widetilde{B})$ is called of *finite type* if there are only finitely many different cluster variables. Cluster algebras of finite type are classified by Fomin-Zelevinsky in [14]. A much broader class of cluster algebras are of mutation finite type. A cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}(\widetilde{B})$ is of *mutation finite type* if the mutation-equivalent class of the initial matrix $\widetilde{B}$ is finite. In this case, we also call the matrix $\widetilde{B}$ is of *mutation finite type*. It is known that cluster algebras of finite types are of mutation finite types, but the inverse is not

true. However, for cluster algebras with principal coefficients, Fomin-Zelevinsky [15] conjectured that

Conjecture A.4. *Let $\mathcal{A}(\widetilde{B})$ be a cluster algebra with principal coefficients, then $\mathcal{A}(\widetilde{B})$ is of finite type if and only if the initial matrix $\widetilde{B}$ is of mutation finite type.*

Recently, this conjecture has been proved by Seven [40] basing on the classification of cluster algebras of *minimal infinite type* [39]. In fact, his proof implies the following statement.

Theorem A.5. *Let $\mathcal{A}(\widetilde{B})$ be a cluster algebra with principal coefficients, then $\mathcal{A}(\widetilde{B})$ is of finite type if and only if the set of c-vectors $\mathsf{cv}(\mathcal{A}(\widetilde{B}))$ of $\mathcal{A}(\widetilde{B})$ is finite.*

In the following, we sketch a proof basing on tropical dualities between c-vectors and g-vectors. Note that only the if part needs a proof. The above result follows easily from the Theorem A.1 and Conjecture A.2. Namely, if the set $\mathsf{cv}(\mathcal{A}\widetilde{B})$ is finite, then there are only finitely many different $t \in \mathbb{T}_n$ such that the C-matrices C_t are pairwise different. Now by Theorem A.3, we deduce that there are finitely many $t \in \mathbb{T}_n$ such that the G-matrices G_t are pairwise different. Hence there are finitely many different cluster variables by Conjecture A.2 and $\mathcal{A}(\widetilde{B})$ is of finite type.

Note that Conjecture A.2 are confirmed for all the skew-symmetric cluster algebras and for certain skew-symmetrizable cluster algebras such as cluster algebras admit unfoldings. In particular, the above proof can be applied to skew-symmetric cluster algebras with principal coefficients.

Now, let us assume that $\mathcal{A}(\widetilde{B})$ is skew-symmetrizable. Let B be the principal part of $\widetilde{B}$. If $\mathsf{cv}(\mathcal{A}(\widetilde{B}))$ is finite, then one can show that B is of mutation finite type by using Proposition 2.7 of [40]. It follows from the classification of cluster algebras of mutation finite type [12] that $\mathcal{A}(\widetilde{B})$ admits an unfolding. By Demonte's result [9], we know that Conjecture A.2 is true in this case and hence that above proof applies.

A.4. **Quivers with potentials and mutations.** We follow [10, 25]. Let $Q = (Q_0, Q_1)$ be a finite quiver, where Q_0 is the set of vertices and Q_1 is the set of arrows. Let kQ be the path algebra of Q and $\widehat{kQ}$ its completion with respect to path length. Thus, $\widehat{kQ}$ is a topological algebra and the paths of Q form a topological basis. The *continuous zeroth Hochschild homology* of $\widehat{kQ}$ is the vector space $HH_0(\widehat{kQ})$ obtained as the quotient of $\widehat{kQ}$ by the closure of the subspace $[\widehat{kQ}, \widehat{kQ}]$ of all commutators. It has a topological basis formed by the classes of cyclic paths of Q. For each arrow a of Q, the *cyclic derivative* with respect to a is the unique continuous map

$$\partial_a : HH_0(\widehat{kQ}) \to \widehat{kQ}$$

which takes the class of a path p to the sum

$$\sum_{p=uav} vu$$

taken over all decompositions of p as a concatenation of path u, a, v, where u, v are of length ≥ 0. A *potential* on Q is an element W of $HH_0(\widehat{kQ})$ which does not involve cycles of length ≤ 2.

Let (Q, W) be a quiver with potential and k a vertex of Q. With certain mild condition for (Q, W) at the vertex k, Derksen-Weyman-Zelevinsky [10] introduced the *mutation of* (Q, W) *in the direction* k which transforms (Q, W) into a new quiver with potential $\mu_k(Q, W) = (Q', W')$ (for the precisely definition, we refer to [10]). In this case, we call the quiver with potential (Q, W) is *mutable at vertex* k. The quiver Q and Q' have the same vertices but different arrows. In general, the resulting quiver with potential $\mu_k(Q, W)$ may not be mutable at certain vertices. But if the quiver Q has no loops nor 2-cycles, there exists a *non-degenerate* potential W on Q such that we can indefinitely mutate the quiver with potential (Q, W). Moreover, each quiver with potential obtained from (Q, W) by iterated mutations has no loops nor 2-cycles.

Let Q be a finite quiver without loops nor 2-cycles with vertex set $Q_0 = \{1, 2, \cdots, m\}$. We define an $m \times m$ integer matrix $B(Q)$ associated to Q such that

$$b_{ij} = |\{\text{arrows from vertex } i \text{ to vertex } j\}| - |\{\text{arrows from vertex } j \text{ to vertex } i\}|.$$

Conversely, for a given integer skew-symmetric matrix B, there is a unique quiver Q without loops nor 2-cycles such that $B(Q) = B$. Let W be a non-degenerate potential on Q. We may assign each vertex $t \in \mathbb{T}_m$ a quiver with potential (Q_t, W_t) which can be obtained from (Q, W) by iterated mutations such that the quivers with potentials assigned to t and t' linked by an edge labeled k are obtained from each other by the mutation μ_k. By Proposition 7.1 in [10], if (Q_t, W_t) and $(Q_{t'}, W_{t'})$ are linked by an edge k, then we have $B(Q_t) = \mu_k(B(Q_{t'}))$.

A.5. **Ginzburg dg algebras and derived equivalences.** Let Q be a finite quiver and W a potential on Q. The Ginzburg dg algebra $\Gamma_{(Q,W)}$ of (Q, W) introduced by Ginzburg [20] is constructed as follows: Let $\overline{Q}$ be the graded quiver with the same vertices as Q and whose arrows are

- the arrow of Q, which are of degree 0;
- an arrow $a^* : j \to i$ of degree -1 for each arrow $a : i \to j$ of Q;
- a loop $t_i : i \to i$ of degree -2 for each vertex i of Q.

The underlying graded algebra of $\Gamma_{(Q,W)}$ is the completion of the graded path algebra $k\overline{Q}$ in the category of graded vector spaces with respect to the ideal generated by the arrows of $\overline{Q}$. In particular, the n-component of $\Gamma_{(Q,W)}$ consisting of elements of the form $\sum_p \lambda_p p$, where p runs over all paths of degree n. The differential d of $\Gamma_{(Q,W)}$ is the unique continuous linear endomorphism homogenous of degree 1 which satisfies the Leibniz rule

$$d(uv) = (du)v + (-1)^p udv,$$

for all homogeneous u of degree p and all v, and takes the following values on the arrows of $\overline{Q}$:

- $da = 0$ for each arrow a of Q;
- $d(a^*) = \partial_a W$ for each arrow a of Q;
- $d(t_i) = e_i(\sum_a [a, a^*])e_i$ for each vertex i of Q, where e_i is the lazy path at i and the sum runs over the set of arrows of Q.

Let Q be a finite quiver without loops nor 2-cycles with vertex set $\{1, 2, \cdots, m\}$ and W a non-degenerate potential on Q. Denote by $\Gamma_{(Q,W)}$ the Ginzburg dg algebra associated to (Q, W). Let k be a vertex of Q and $\Gamma_{\mu_k(Q,W)}$ the Ginzburg dg algebra associated to $\mu_k(Q, W)$. Let $e_1, \cdots, e_m$ be the idempotents of $\Gamma_{(Q,W)}$ and $\Gamma_{\mu_k(Q,W)}$ associated to the vertices of (Q, W) and $\mu_k(Q, W)$. Let $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma_{(Q,W)})$ and $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma_{\mu_k(Q,W)})$ be the derived categories of $\Gamma_{(Q,W)}$ and $\Gamma_{\mu_k(Q,W)}$ respectively. The following result is due to Keller-Yang [27].

Theorem A.6. *There is a triangle equivalence*

$$\Phi : \mathcal{D}(\Gamma_{\mu_k(Q,W)}) \to \mathcal{D}(\Gamma_{(Q,W)})$$

which sends the $e_i\Gamma_{\mu_k(Q,W)}$ to $e_i\Gamma_{(Q,W)}$ for $i \neq k$ and to the mapping cone of the morphism $e_k\Gamma_{\mu_k(Q,W)} \to \oplus_{k\to j} e_j\Gamma_{(Q,W)}$ for $i = k$, where the sum is taken over the arrows in Q.

A.6. **A short proof of sign-coherence conjecture for skew-symmetric cluster algebras.** Let $\mathcal{A}(\widetilde{B})$ be a skew-symmetric cluster algebra with principal coefficients. We fix a cluster pattern of $\mathcal{A}(\widetilde{B})$ by assigning the initial seed $(\widetilde{B}, \mathbf{x})$ to the vertex $t_0 \in \mathbb{T}_n$.

Let $Q = (Q_0, Q_1)$ be a finite quiver without loops nor 2-cycles with vertex set $Q_0 = \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$ such that $B(Q)$ is the principal part of the initial matrix $\widetilde{B}$. We define a new quiver $\widetilde{Q}$ such that the set of vertices $\widetilde{Q}_0 = Q_0 \cup \{1 + n, 2 + n, \cdots, 2n\}$ and the set of arrows $\widetilde{Q}_1 = Q_1 \cup \{i + n \to i | i \in Q_0\}$. Let W be a non-degenerate potential on $\widetilde{Q}$. We may assign each vertex $t \in \mathbb{T}_n$ a quiver with potential $(\widetilde{Q}_t, W_t)$

which can be obtained from $(\widetilde{Q}, W)$ by iterated mutations of μ_k for $1 \leq k \leq n$ such that the quivers with potentials assigned to t and t' linked by an edge labeled k are obtained from each other by the mutation μ_k. Let $(\widetilde{Q}_{t_0}, W_{t_0})$ be the quiver with potential $(\widetilde{Q}, W)$. For each quiver with potential $(\widetilde{Q}_t, W_t)$, let $B(\widetilde{Q}_t)$ be the corresponding skew-symmetric matrix and $B(\widetilde{Q}_t)^\circ$ the submatrix of $B(\widetilde{Q}_t)$ formed by the first n columns. Recall that for each vertex $t \in \mathbb{T}_n$, we have a seed $(\widetilde{B}_t, \mathbf{x}_t)$ by the fixed cluster pattern. By Proposition 7.1 in [10], we know that $B(\widetilde{Q}_t)^\circ = \widetilde{B}_t$ for all $t \in \mathbb{T}_n$. Let $C_t = (c_{ij}^t) \in M_n(\mathbb{Z})$ be the coefficient part of $\widetilde{B}_t$, we clearly have

$$c_{ij}^t = |\{\text{arrows from vertex } i+n \text{ to vertex } j\}| - |\{\text{arrows from vertex } j \text{ to vertex } i+n\}|.$$

Note that we have $\mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(\Gamma_{(Q,W)})}(e_{i+n}\Gamma_{(Q,W)}, e_{j+n}\Gamma_{(Q,W)}) = 0$ for any $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$. It follows from Theorem A.6 that there is no arrow between vertex $i+n$ and $j+n$ in the quiver $\widetilde{Q}_t$ for any $t \in \mathbb{T}_n$. Suppose that there is a vertex $t \in \mathbb{T}_n$ such that the kth column vector of C_t is not sign-coherence. Hence there exist vertices $i+n$ and $j+n$ for $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$ such that $c_{ik}^t > 0$ and $c_{jk}^t < 0$. Now consider the mutation at vertex k, we obtain that in the quiver with potential $\mu_k(\widetilde{Q}_t, W_t)$ there are $c_{ik}^t \times c_{jk}^t$ arrows from $i+n$ to $j+n$, a contradiction.

References

[1] I. Assem, D. Simson and A. Skowroński, *Elements of the Representation Theory of Associative Algebras, Volume 1 Techniques of Representation Theory*, London Math. Soc. Students Texts **65**, Cambridge University press, 2006.

[2] M. Auslander and S.O. Smalø, *Almost split sequences in subcategories*, J. Algebra **69**(1981), 426-454. Addendum: J. Algebra **71**(1981),592-594.

[3] T. Adachi, O. Iyama and I. Reiten, *τ-tilting theory*, Compos. Math. **150**(2014), no. 3, 415–452.

[4] T. Aihara and O. Iyama, *Silting mutation in triangulated categories*, J. London Math. Soc. **85**(2012), no.3, 633-668.

[5] K. Bongartz, *Tilted algebras*, In: Representations of algebras, Springer Lect. Notes Math. **903**(1981),26-38.

[6] T. Brüstle and D. Yang, *Ordered Exchange graphs*, Advances in Representation Theory of Algebras (ICRA 2012), Euro. Math. Soc., 135-193, 2013.

[7] A. B. Buan, R. Marsh and I. Reiten, *Cluster-tilted algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **359**(2007), no.1, 323-332.

[8] A. B. Buan, R. Marsh, M. Reineke, I. Reiten and G. Todorov, *Tilting theory and cluster combinatroics*, Adv. Math. **204**(2006), no.2, 572-618.

[9] L. Demonet, *Mutations of group species with potentials and their representations. Applications to cluster algebras*, arXiv:1003.5078.

[10] H. Derksen, J. Weyman and A. Zelevinsky, *Quivers with potentials and their representations I: Mutations*, Selecta Math. **14**(2008), no.1, 59-119.

[11] ———, *Quivers with potentials and their representations II: Application to cluster algebras*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **23**(2010), 749-790.

[12] A. Felikson, M. Shapiro and P. Tumarkin, *Cluster algebras of finite mutation type via unfoldings*, Int. Math. Res. Notices **2012**(2012), 1768-1804.

[13] S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky, *Cluster algebras. I. Foundations*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **15**(2002), no. 2, 497-529 (electronic).

[14] ———, *Cluster algebras. II. Finite type classification*, Invent. Math. **154** (2003), no. 1, 63-121.

[15] ———, *Cluster algebras IV: Coefficients*, Composito Math. **143**(2007), 112-164.

[16] C. Fu, S. Geng and P. Liu, *Algebras arising from cluster tubes and cluster algebras of type* C, preprint.

[17] C. Fu and B. Keller, *On cluster algebras with coefficients and* 2*-Calabi-Yau categories*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **362**(2010), 859-895.

[18] C. Fu and L. Peng, *On Borcherds type Lie algebras*, Math. Zeit. **278**(2014), 705-742.

[19] W. Geigle and H. Lenzing, *A class of weighted projective lines arising in representation theory of finite dimensional algebras*, Lect. Notes Math. **1273**(1987),265-297.

[20] V. Ginzburg, *Calabi-Yau algebras*, arXiv:0612139v3.

[21] M. Gross, P. Hacking, S. Keel and M. Kontsevich, *Canonical bases for cluster algebras*, arXiv:1411.1394.

[22] D. Happel, *A characterization of hereditary categories with tilting object*, Invent. Math. **144**(2001), 381-398.

[23] D. Happel and C.M. Ringel, *Tilted algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **274**(1982), 399-443.

[24] B. Keller, *On triangulated orbit categories*, Doc. Math. **10**(2005), 551-581.

[25] ———, *Cluster algebras and derived categories*, European Mathematical Society Series of Congress Reports, viii+346 pp. Zurich: European Mathematical Society, 2012.

[26] B. Keller and I. Reiten, *Cluster-tilted algebras are Gorenstein and stably Calabi-Yau*, Adv. Math. **211**(2007), no.1, 123-151.

[27] B. Keller and D. Yang, *Derived equivalences from mutations of quivers with potential*, Adv. Math. **226** (2011), 2118-2168.

[28] S. König and D. Yang, *Silting objects, simple-minded collections, t-structures and co-t-structures for finite-dimensional algebras*, Doc. Math. **19**(2014), 403-438.

[29] H. Lenzing, *Hereditary categories*, Chapter 6 of Handbook of tilting theory, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes **332**, 105-146.

[30] Y. Mizuno, *Classifying τ-tilting modules over preprojective algebras of Dynkin type*, Math. Z. **277**(2014), 665-690.

[31] K. Nagao, *Donaldson-Thomas theory and cluster algebras*, Duke Math. J. **162**(2013), no.7, 1313-1367.

[32] A. Nájera Chávez, *On the c-vectors of an acyclic cluster algebra*, Int. Math. Res. Notices(2013), doi: 10.1093/imrn/rnt264.

[33] ———, *c-vectors and dimension vectors for cluster-finite quivers*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **45**(2013), 1259-1266.

[34] T. Nakanishi, *Periodicities in cluster algebras and dilogarithm identities*, in Representations of algebras and related topics (A. Skowronski and K. Yamagata, eds.), EMS Series of Congress Reports, European Mathematical Society, 2011, pp.407-444.

[35] T. Nakanishi and S. Stella, *Diagrammatic description of c-vectors and d-vectors of cluster algebras of finite type*, Electron. J. Combin. **21**(2014), 107 pages.

[36] T. Nakanishi and A. Zelevinsky, *On tropical dualities in cluster algebras*, Contemp. Math. **565**(2012), 217-226.

[37] L. Peng and J. Xiao, *Triangulated categories and Kac-Moody algebras*, Invent. Math. **140**(2000), 563-603.

[38] P. Plamondon, *Cluster algebras via cluster categories with infinite-dimensional morphism spaces*, Composito Math. **147**(2011),1921-1954.

[39] A. Seven, *Cluster algebras and semipositive symmetrizable matrices*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **363**(5)(2011), 2733-2762.

[40] ———, *Mutation classes of finite type cluster algebras with principal coefficients*, Linear Algebra and its Application **438**(2013), 4584-4594.

[41] P. Slodowy, *Beyond Kac-Moody algebras and inside*, Can. Math. Soc. Conf. Proc. **5**(1986), 361-371.

[42] D. Speyer and H. Thomas, *Acyclic cluster algebras revisited*, Algebras Quivers and Representations, Abel Symposium **8**(2013), 275-298.

Changjian Fu, Department of Mathematics, SiChuan University, 610064 Chengdu, P.R.China

E-mail address: changjianfu@scu.edu.cn